NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY

Institute of Environmental Engineering Regulations for Evaluation of Thesis Rehearsals and Rewards for Students with Outstanding Performance

Issued by the 9th Institute General Meeting on July 9, 2012, School Year 100.

Article I   This set of regulations is specifically issued to improve the ability of students of the Institute of Environmental Engineering (IOEE) to write theses and reports.

Article II   Reward candidates: Students graduating from the IOEE's master's degree program and conducting thesis rehearsals.

Article III   Number of recipients: The top three students after evaluation.

Article IV   Evaluation shall be conducted as follows:

A. All students graduating from the institute's master's program shall perform thesis rehearsals and a five-point scale shall be adopted for evaluation. The evaluation scale is as shown in Appendix 1.

B. Evaluation shall be conducted by the faculty members and doctoral students of the IOEE. Grades given by doctoral students using the same laboratory as the rehearsal student shall not be taken into accounts.

C. The top three students shall be rewarded. Supervisors of students failing to score 15 points or higher shall be informed of evaluation results and such students shall perform thesis rehearsals again. Those failing to pass rehearsals may not apply for oral examination of thesis.

Article V   Reward amounts:

NT$5,000 for the student winning first place

NT$4,000 for the student winning second place

NT$3,000 for the student winning third place

Article VI   This set of regulations shall be implemented following approval of the institute general meeting. The same procedure shall be carried out when amendments are to be made.

Appendix 1

Evaluation Scale for Student Thesis Rehearsal

No.	Rehearsing Student	Supervisor	Thesis Research Status					Comprehensiveness of Research Contents and Results					Rationality of Research Results and Discussions					Completeness of Rehearsal Contents					Correctness of Answers to Questions Raised					Total
1			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
2			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
3			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
4			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
5			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
6			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
7			1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	

1. Evaluation criteria: 5 means excellent, 4 decent, 3 ordinary, 2 poor and 1 very poor.
2. Evaluators shall circle the numbers of their choices.

Evaluator: _____

Supervisor of Evaluator: _____

Date: _____