# NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY

**Institute of Environmental Engineering Regulations for Evaluation of Thesis Rehearsals and Rewards for Students with Outstanding Performance**

Issued by the 9th Institute General Meeting on July 9, 2012, School Year 100.

Article I This set of regulations is specifically issued to improve the ability of students of the Institute of Environmental Engineering (IOEE) to write theses and reports.

Article II Reward candidates: Students graduating from the IOEE’s master’s degree program and conducting thesis rehearsals.

Article III Number of recipients: The top three students after evaluation. Article IV Evaluation shall be conducted as follows:

1. All students graduating from the institute’s master’s program shall perform thesis rehearsals and a five-point scale shall be adopted for evaluation. The evaluation scale is as shown in Appendix 1.
2. Evaluation shall be conducted by the faculty members and doctoral students of the IOEE. Grades given by doctoral students using the same laboratory as the rehearsal student shall not be taken into accounts.
3. The top three students shall be rewarded. Supervisors of students failing to score 15 points or higher shall be informed of evaluation results and such students shall perform thesis rehearsals again. Those failing to pass rehearsals may not apply for oral examination of thesis.

Article V Reward amounts:

NT$5,000 for the student winning first place NT$4,000 for the student winning second place NT$3,000 for the student winning third place

Article VI This set of regulations shall be implemented following approval of the institute general meeting. The same procedure shall be carried out when amendments are to be made.

Appendix 1

# Evaluation Scale for Student Thesis Rehearsal

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Rehearsing Student | Supervisor | Thesis Research Status | Comprehensiveness of Research Contents and Results | Rationality of Research Results and Discussions | Completeness of Rehearsal Contents | Correctness of Answers to Questions Raised | Total |
| 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 4 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

1. Evaluation criteria: 5 means excellent, 4 decent, 3 ordinary, 2 poor and 1 very poor.
2. Evaluators shall circle the numbers of their choices.

Evaluator: Supervisor of Evaluator: Date: